

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

| | |
|---|--|
| Family Name | Holliday |
| Given Name | Margaret |
| Person ID | 1287105 |
| Title | Stakeholder Submission |
| Type | Web |
| Family Name | Holliday |
| Given Name | Margaret |
| Person ID | 1287105 |
| Title | JPA 35: North of Mosley Common |
| Type | Web |
| Soundness - Positively prepared? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Justified? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Consistent with national policy? | NA |
| Soundness - Effective? | NA |
| Compliance - Legally compliant? | NA |
| Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate? | NA |
| Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. | <p>I consider 1100 extra houses in the Mosley Common Area to be a totally bad idea. I have lived in this area for 46 years and have experienced a vast amount of housing development in the interim years.</p> <p>Most properties now own at least 2 cars and the traffic on the the roads is horrendous at all times of day.</p> <p>The guided busway should not be used as a key justification for building new housing in this area as facilities and amenities are already stretched.</p> <p>Green Belt areas should remain as Green Belt areas with developments taking place on Brown Belt land.</p> |